Education Audit Appeals Panel State of California

Appeal of 2018-19 Program Audit of California Clean Energy Jobs Act Audit Findings 1-4 by:

Saddleback Valley Unified School District and Ralph A. Gates Elementary, Appellants

EAAP Case No. 20-07

OAH No. 2021070697

Decision

The Education Audit Appeals Panel has adopted the attached Stipulated Agreement of the parties as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

Effective date: 2/28/2022

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Joel Montero, Chairperson

for Education Audit Appeals Panel

-1 SHAWN SILVA, State Bar No. 190019 KIMBERLEY K CHOW, State Bar No. 289832 2 OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER 300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850 3 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone No.: (916) 445-2636 4 Attorneys for Respondent, 5 Betty T. Yee, State Controller 6 7 BEFORE THE EDUCATION AUDITS APPEAL PANEL 8 FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Appeal of: EAAP Case No.: No. 20-07 OAH Case No.: 2021070697 Fiscal Year 2018-19 Program Audit of 11 California Clean Energy Jobs Act Audit STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT Findings 1-4 by: 12 AGREEMENT SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNIFIED 13 SCHOOL DISTRICT and RALPH A. GATES ELEMENTARY, 14 Appellants 15 16 OFFICE OF THE STATE 17 CONTROLLER Respondent, 18 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, 19 Respondent 20 21 INTRODUCTION 22 Appellant SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNITED SCHOOL DISTRICT (Saddleback), 23 Appellant RALPH A. GATES ELEMENTARY (Gates), Respondent BETTY T. YEE, 24 CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER (SCO), and Respondent, DEPARTMENT OF 25

1

8

6

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

FINANCE (DOF) (collectively known as "the Parties") agree to a complete settlement of the above-captioned matters as follows:

RECITALS

- A. The SCO conducted an audit of a selection of completed projects under the California

 Clean Energy Jobs Act for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019, the results of which were included in an audit report titled "Program Audit of the California Clean Energy Jobs Act" issued on or about June 30, 2020 (Audit).
- B. In Audit Finding 1, the SCO determined that \$262,577.00 of Gate's costs and \$5,418,069.00 of Saddleback's costs were disallowed because they were sole-sourced, in violation of Public Resources Code section 26235 subdivision (c), which prohibits local education agencies from using a sole source process to award Proposition 39 funds.
- C. In Audit Finding 2, the SCO found that the Appellants did not identify the required projected energy savings in its awarded contracts in violation of Public Resources Code section 26206, subdivision (d), which requires that all project contracts identify the project specifications, costs, and projected energy savings.
- D. In Audit Finding 3, the SCO determined that a Local Education Agency improperly applied Proposition 39 funds towards ineligible expenditures. The Audit did not identify either Appellant in this Finding; therefore, Audit Finding 3 is not at issue in this appeal.
- E. Lastly, in Audit Finding 4, the SCO determined that the Appellants submitted their final project completion report after the deadline in violation of Public Resources Code section 26240, subdivision (b). The Audit found that GATES' report was submitted 20 months after project completion and SADDLEBACK submitted its report 23 months after the reported project completion date.

6

4

9

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

- F. Both Appellants timely filed a joint request for formal appeal of Audit Findings 1-4 pursuant to Education Code section 41344, subdivision (d), instituting this appeal before the Education Audit Appeals Panel (EAAP).
- G. In order to avoid the cost and uncertainty of litigation, the parties to this case agree to resolve this dispute on the terms and conditions described below.

AGREEMENT

For the purpose of completely settling and resolving the appeal of Audit Findings 1-4, the Parties agree as set forth below:

- This stipulated agreement fully and completely resolves all claims, demands, appeals, obligations, or causes of actions arising from or relating to Audit Findings 1-4.
- 2. Appellant SADDLEBACK shall repay, in full satisfaction of Audit Findings 1-4, the sum of \$540,000, without interest, in equal installments of \$67,500 per year over a period of eight (8) years, commencing with the first payment due by June 30, 2022, and by June 30 of each year thereafter, until final payment by June 30, 2029, following EAAP approval and adoption of this Stipulated Agreement.
- 3. Appellant GATES shall repay, in full satisfaction of Audit Findings 1-4, the sum of \$26,000, with no interest, in equal installments of \$3,250 per year over a period of eight (8) years, commencing with the first payment due by June 30, 2022, and by June 30 of each year thereafter, until final payment by June 30, 2029 following EAAP approval and adoption of this Stipulated Agreement.
- 4. This Stipulated Agreement is subject to and conditioned upon approval and adoption by EAAP, pursuant to Education Code section 41344.1, subsection (b).

1	5. This Stipulated Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall		
2	constitute an original. Facsimile and PDF signatures transmitted to other parties to this		
3	Stipulated Agreement are deemed to be the equivalent of original signatures.		
4	6. The parties shall be responsible for their own attorneys' fees and costs relative to the		
5	Appeal.		
6			
7	Dated: 2/10, 2022 SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT and RALPH A. GATES ELEMENTARY		
8			
9	By: Marell		
10	DR. CKYSTAL TURNER, ED. Superintendent		
12	Saddleback Valley unified School District		
13	Dated: 2/17, 2022 DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE		
14			
15	By: Mrs treyson		
16	CHRIS FERGUSON		
17	Program Budget Manager Department of Finance		
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated: 2, 17, 2022 OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER		
22			
23	Ву:		
24	KIMBERLEY K. CHOW Staff Counsel for Respondent, BETTY T. YEE, California State Controller		
- 1			

	AS TO FORM ONLY	
1 2	Dated: 2 1 , 2022	FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST LLP
3		
4	Ву:	1291
5	by.	JESSICA EHRLICH
6		Attorney for Saddleback Valley Unified School District and Ralph A. Gates Elementary
7	Dated: 2/1/0, 2022	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
8	Dated: 21 VO, 2022	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNET GENERAL
9		. 1
10	Ву:	MICHAEL BYERTS
11		Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Department of Finance
12		Attorney for Department of Finance
13		
14		*
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	1	