Education Audit Appeals Panel State of California

Appeal of prior year adjustment(s) for redevelopment agency (RDA) pass-through payment(s) for fiscal year(s) 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, and 2007-08 by:

EAAP Case No. R09-83

OAH No. 2010031437

Centralia School District,

Appellant.

Decision

The Education Audit Appeals Panel has adopted the attached Stipulated Agreement of the parties its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

Effective date: January 23, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 23, 2012 Date Original Signed
Diana L. Ducay, Chairperson
for Education Audit Appeals Panel

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

4

RICHARD J. CHIVARO, State Bar No. 124391
JOHN E. DICKERSON, State Bar No. 248005
OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER
300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850
Sacramento, CA 94250
Telephone No.: (916) 445-2636
Attorneys for Respondent
JOHN CHIANG, State Controller

BEFORE THE EDUCATION AUDIT APPEALS PANEL STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Appeal of Prior Year Adjustments for Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Pass-through Payment(s) for Fiscal Year(s) 2003-2004, 2004-2005, 2005-2006, 2006-2007, 2007-2008 by:

CENTRALIA SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Appellant.

CASE NO. R09-3 OAH No. 2010031437

STIPULATED AGREEMENT

The STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE ("SCO"), the DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ("Finance"), and the CENTRALIA SCHOOL DISTRICT (the "District") --- collectively, "the Parties" hereunder --- hereby enter into this Stipulated Agreement ("Agreement") to resolve Education Audit Appeals Panel ("EAAP") Case No. R09-3, currently pending before EAAP.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

A. On October 1, 2009, in conjunction with the audit and reporting process mandated by Assembly Bill 1389 (Stats. 2008, ch. 751) ("AB 1389"), the California Department of Education ("CDE") notified the District that, due to the District's under-reporting of redevelopment pass-through payments received between Fiscal Year ("FY") 2003-2004 and FY 2007-2008, CDE was reducing the District's Total Principal Apportionment by \$469,156.00 for FY 2010-2011.

- B. On December 2, 2009, the District sent a written request for a formal appeal to EAAP pursuant to Education Code section 41344.
- C. On August 11, 2010, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings directed the parties to "exchange all documents pertinent to this case by September 15, 2010 and to meet and confer no later than October 15, 2010 to try and resolve[] the case and define the issues."
- D. On October 22, 2010, the District submitted to all parties a letter defining the issues in the case along with the data reflecting the errors in the reporting of redevelopment pass-through amounts owed to the District during the AB 1389 audit and reporting process. No other party submitted any documents pertinent to this case, nor any narrative description of the issues.
- E. After reviewing the District's Document Exchange Packet and performing their own calculations, the SCO and Finance agreed that the District's Principal Apportionment in each of the upcoming eight fiscal years should be reduced no more than \$58,644.50, for an aggregate reduction of \$469,156.00.
- F. In order to avoid the time and expense of ongoing litigation between the Parties, the Parties have agreed to enter into this Agreement.

AGREEMENT

A. In light of the recent discussion of the Parties and in resolution of the District's appeal, the Parties agree that the CDE shall reduce the District's Total Principal Apportionment by an aggregate \$469,156.00, applied over the course of each of the upcoming eight fiscal years, without interest, in yearly installments of \$58,644.50, according to the following schedule:

\$58,644.5	FY 2011-2012
\$58,644.5	FY 2012-2013
\$58,644.5	FY 2013-2014
\$58,644.5	FY 2014-2015
\$58,644.5	FY 2015-2016
\$58,644.5	FY 2016-2017
\$58,644.5	FY 2017-2018

1

O

10

11

12

13

14 15

760-304-6000 • Fax: 760-304-6011

Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost, LLP

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

B. Finance has consulted with CDE regarding the District's Total Principal
Apportionment, and Finance will continue to consult with CDE, including providing CDE with the final terms of this Agreement.

C. The Parties agree that no aspect of the reporting and audit process culminating in the October 1, 2009 letter, and no aspect of that letter, shall be construed in this or any subsequent proceeding to foreclose the right of the District to recover from relevant redevelopment agencies any additional pass-through payments for the fiscal years 2003-2004 through 2007-2008, beyond those referenced in the October 1, 2009 letter, to which the District may be entitled under law.

- D. The Parties further agree that this Agreement constitutes a full and final resolution of all claims and issues arising from the above-captioned appeal.
- E. This Agreement is subject to and conditioned upon approval and adoption by EAAP, pursuant to Education Code section 41344.1, subdivision (b).
- F. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original. Facsimile signatures transmitted to other parties to this Agreement are deemed to be the equivalent of original signatures or counterparts.

The Parties ratify the preceding terms and conditions through the signature of their representatives, as follows:

DATED: November , 2011

JANUARY 11, 2012

CENTRALIA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Original Signed

By:

Gregory Luke
Attorney for Appellant CENTRALIA SCHOOL

<u>3</u>

7 8 9 10 11 12 Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost, LL 1 Cvic Center Drive, Suite 300 San Marcos, Ceffronia 92069 Main: 760-304-5000 • Fax; 750-304-5011 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

OFFICE OF THE STATE CONTROLLER

Original Signed

By:

By:

John Dickerson Attorney for Respondent, JOHN CHIANG, CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER

DATED: November ____ 2011

DATED: November-

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

1/11/2012

Original Signed

Phillip J. Matsumoto
Attorney for Intervener, CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE